

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.   FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	·	0 <u></u>
	¬ □	EXAMINER
	Sustex Section 1985	
BIOTEL BOTEN BIOTEL PAROY, & BACON LU ONE REMEAS CITY MEACE WOO MAIN STREET SANSTE CITY MI EXCOST 25 H	D4:	PAPER NUMBER TE MAILED:
	••	11 1 A A KILLED A 647 CA

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Application No.

08/811,434

**Scott Bushey** 

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

1724

LEE ET AL



## **Advisory Action**

TH	E PER	NOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a)	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate f date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purpo determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
	Appe perio	llant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any d for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Ap but	plican i is NO	it's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jan 26, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, OT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
	The p	proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.			
will not be entered because:			
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NC	DTE:	
		applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The terminal disclaimer has been entered and is effective in overcoming the double patenting rejection.	
	New sepa	ly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
X	The	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition	
2_5	for a	llowance because:	
		102(b) public sale rejection and 102(e) rejection remains since there is still a difference in inventive entity and no	
		ving (affidavit or declaration) has been provided to remove the reference as prior art.	
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):		ourposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
	Clain	ns allowed: None	
		ns objected to: None	
	Clain	ns rejected: 35-37	
		proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.	
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
X	Othe	The rejections under 102(b) "on sale" and 102(e) would be overcome by the timely	
		filing of either a 132 declaration by the attorney or assignee showing Leon Fan as an	
		inventor of disclosed but unclaimed subject matter in the parent, or a 130 SCOTT BUSHEY	
		declaration by an inventor or assignee with the same showing as in the 132. In PRIMARY EXAMINER either event a showing that 1 or more inventors would not sign must also be filed.  ART UNIT 1724	

20